The mark you receive for your I2C Data Logger Project will be based, for the most part, on the evaluations and ranking of your effort against your peers.

**Your Name**:

**1. Please value projects in which the engineer pushed himself to incorporate a broad range of concepts and components that we have introduced in our courses.**

**2. Do NOT evaluate yourself.**

**3. Assign a mark out of 5 in each of the six categories. Determine the total for ALL presenters before assigning the rank from 1 to 19. There are to be NO ties – decide who gets the edge.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Name** | **Originality/Creativity** | **Craftsmanship/ Esthetics** | **Risk** | **Coverage ofRequirements** | **Finish/Polish** | **PresentationVoice, Clarity** | **Total/30** | **Rank** |
| 1. | Armstrong |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Bagga |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Barney |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Blum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Burleton |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | Chien |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. | Davies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. | Elder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. | Gane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. | Goldsmith |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. | Jones |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. | Kingsley |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. | Kurdyak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14. | Laxdal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15. | Leder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. | McCutcheon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17. | McDaniel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18. | Miller |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19. | Pritchard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20. | Trevor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |