
****The mark you receive for your ISP will be based on the evaluations and comments from your peers.

**Title**: Enter here

**Student Engineer**: Enter here

**Student Evaluator**: Enter here

**Indicate the mark you assign by raising the font size to 20 pt   
(1: Low; 10: High) for each of the following categories regarding the ISP.**

**1. Risk/Ambition/Time Management 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10**

Was the author required to **research/apply a multiplicity of new concepts** or techniques or was this a straightforward reworking of earlier projects?

**Did the author push himself** into new and unfamiliar coding or conceptual areas?

What sense do you get of the **timeline** of this project’s development? Evenly, in multiple segments (score higher) or a last minute mash-up stitch together (score lower).

**2. Achievement/Creativity/Originality 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10**

Did the author achieve something of personal or public **significance**?

How **unique/interesting** was this project in some respect?

Did the author make **creative use of a multitude of assets available to him**?  
Is this an **original** project and design or did the author follow an online instructable he found?


**3. Code Complexity/Challenge 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10**

To what extent did the author embrace recent code techniques  
(*efficiency, functions, register-level, assembly, custom libraries*)?  
How much care was taken in preparing his **Code  
 (***formatting, variable naming*, *data design, use of EEPROM*, etc.**)**

How robust is the code (*reliability, adaptability, stability, etc*.)

**4. SMD/CAD Design and Build Quality 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10**

To what extent was the **SMD** pursuit imagined and implemented?

To what extent was the **CAD** encasement imagined and implemented?

To what extent were **recent** code techniques embraced?  
How much care was taken in *assembling* the circuit?

**5. Presentation 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10**  
How much **effort and enthusiasm** was invested the presentation?

How **clear** was the author’s explanation of his project? Too **fast**? Too **slow**? Just **right**?  
Did his presentation provide a clear overview of the major structural components of his projects?  
Did the author **explain** new and unfamiliar terminology?

Did the author make **good use of the presentation time allotted**?

Did the author inspire you to (possibly) investigate the project’s subject further?

**Additional Comments/Recommendations: (***go to next page, if necessary***)**